From: ucclaw-l-request@lists.washlaw.edu (ucclaw-l-request@lists.washlaw.edu)

To: ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu; Date: Mon, May 17, 2010 7:38:38 AM

Cc:

Subject: UCCLaw-L Digest, Vol 87, Issue 10

Send UCCLaw-L mailing list submissions to ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu

To subscribe or unsubscribe via the World Wide Web, visit <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l</a> or, via email, send a message with subject or body 'help' to <a href="https://ucclaw-l-request@lists.washlaw.edu">ucclaw-l-request@lists.washlaw.edu</a>

You can reach the person managing the list at ucclaw-l-owner@lists.washlaw.edu

When replying, please edit your Subject line so it is more specific than "Re: Contents of UCCLaw-L digest..."

# Today's Topics:

1. Re: When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac.. (Joseph.Sommer@ny.frb.org)

- 2. Re: When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac.. (Charles Curry)
- 3. Re: When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac.. (Phillip Mahony)
- 4. Seeking to engage a Freddie Mack "consultant explainer (Danube528@aol.com)
- 5. Re: When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac.. (Goldfarb, Richard L.)

-----

Message: 1

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 10:47:06 -0400 From: Joseph.Sommer@ny.frb.org

To: James McGuire < j.mcguire@swbell.net>

Cc: <u>ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu</u>, <u>ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu</u> Subject: Re: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac..

Message-ID: <20100514144714.41935F1F100@mxgateway.washburn.edu>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Word, brother. Amen!

However, I'm not sure if UETA and E-Sign excluded negotiable intangibles. The cockamamie notion of "transferable record" in Section 16 of UETA is

pretty much the same sort of thing as UCC 9-105 and 7-106. You could argue that it undoes the exclusion of UCC3 from UETA. However, the concept of a negotiable intangible is logically self-contradictory, even when gussied up with the deemers of Section 16, 9-105, or 7-106.

Things are even worse. It is normally the path of wisdom to destroy originals after you have made a good copy of a wet-signed instrument. It saves the risk of safeguarding the thing. But if you do this with the subset of instruments that the UCC deems negotiable, you are at risk of getting caught up in the Joslin trap of UCC 3-309 (i.e., you have no right to enforce a destroyed instrument if you didn't possess it at the time of destruction). This trap was disabled by the 2002 amendments, but I'm not sure if they have been adopted by all 50 states.

I would dearly like to see a kind of UCC Article 8A, which would establish a UCC 8-style authoritative registry system for all intangibles (or bailed tangibles), and do away with the abominations called UCC 9-105 and 7-106 and Section 16 of UETA. But I doubt that this will ever happen.

From: James McGuire < j.mcguire@swbell.net>

To: <u>ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu</u> Date: 05/14/2010 07:57 AM

Subject: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac..

Sent by: ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu

We shall include Fannie Mae as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are brother and sister and follow the same guidelines for the purchase of mortgage loans.

Fred/Fan typically purchase an "Electronic Digitized File" in which is stored a "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with an "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Paper Security Instrument". There are no supporting laws for an "Electronic Negotiable Instrument/Electronic Promissory Note".

Mortgage Bankers Association, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, banks, etc and title companies executed an advertising blitz that E-Sign and UETA have allowed for the use of "Electronic Negotiable Instruments/Electronic Promissory Notes". 15 USC 7003 of the E-Sign Act excludes UCC Article 3, Negotiable Instruments and UCC Article 9. UETA has the same exclusions.

# One procedure:

1. Homeowner signs a Paper Promissory Note and a Paper Security Instrument.

- 2. The Lender is named on the Paper Promissory Note and in the case of MERS, the Paper Security Instrument on it's face identifies MERS as the Beneficiary and MERS as Nominee for Lender.
- 3. The Security Instrument is recorded in public records naming MERS as Beneficiary (Invalid Perfection). (Laws of Local Jurisdiction)
- 4. The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" are scanned into an "Electronic Digitized File" and the relevant data is scrapped from the paper documents and attached to the "Electronic Digitized File" to create an "Electronic Mortgage Package". The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" in some cases were destroyed and in other cases vaulted for later retrieval if required in litigation.

(Writers Note: In cases where the Original Paper Note returns it often has an incomplete Chain of Endorsements which would reflect that Fred/Fan never achieved "Holder in Due Course", UCC Article 3 - Negotiation of Instrument or it the item presented as "True and Correct Copy" lacks proper Chain of Endorsements.

It is the "Electronic Mortgage Package" that has been offered up to Fred/Fan.

So Fred/Fan have purchased an "Electronic Promissory Note" and an "Invalid Perfected Security Instrument" based on the above scenario, there are variations but the results are similar that are "Worthless".

Humpty Dumpty is Broken and there are no laws to support either side of what can not exist.

J.McGuire P O Box 1352 Bedford, Texas 76095-1352 Cell 817 420-4151

The UCCLAW-L listserv is sponsored by Thomson West, publisher of the "UCC Reporting Service"

http://store.westgroup.com/, with assistance from the Washlaw Web. To subscribe or change your settings, go to

http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l

A free service of WashLaw

http://washlaw.edu (785)670.1088

This e-mail message, including attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential or proprietary information. If you are not the intended recipient, immediately contact the sender

by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

----- next part -----

An HTML attachment was scrubbed...

 $URL: < \underline{http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/68cbb6ab/attachment-left (a) to the first of the firs$ 

<u>0001.html</u>>

-----

Message: 2

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 10:05:18 -0500

From: "Charles Curry" < < CCurry@shannongracey.com>

To: "James McGuire" < j.mcguire@swbell.net>,

<ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu>

Subject: Re: [Ucclaw-I] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac.. Message-ID:

<9CEAB27C690D2F4A8671EE9D9C81EC970109DC25@hammerhead.internal.sgrmnt.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

What on earth has happened to the English language? Just this AM I saw a certificate of service on a pleading filed in Federal District Court that contained similar jibberish-the lawyer used "dialogued" which I am just guessing is pretty close to talking on the telephone? And "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", the lawyer said in the certificate (in parentheses), means "email"-but I will just have to take his word on that, as well as "attributable hyperlink." And how about "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note"?

Charles N. Curry

Shannon, Gracey, Ratliff & Miller, L.L.P.

777 Main Street, Suite 3800

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Main: (817) 877-8109

Fax: (817) 336-3735

ccurry@shannongracey.com

www.shannongracey.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. IRS Circular 230 Required Notice--IRS regulations require that we inform you as follows: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter.

From: ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu

[mailto:ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu] On Behalf Of James McGuire

Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 5:10 PM

To: ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu

Subject: [Ucclaw-I] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac..

We shall include Fannie Mae as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are brother and sister and follow the same guidelines for the purchase of mortgage loans.

Fred/Fan typically purchase an "Electronic Digitized File" in which is stored a "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with an "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Paper Security Instrument". There are no supporting laws for an "Electronic Negotiable Instrument/Electronic Promissory Note".

Mortgage Bankers Association, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, banks, etc and title companies executed an advertising blitz that E-Sign and UETA have allowed for the use of "Electronic Negotiable Instruments/Electronic Promissory Notes". 15 USC 7003 of the E-Sign Act excludes UCC Article 3, Negotiable Instruments and UCC Article 9. UETA has the same exclusions.

# One procedure:

1. Homeowner signs a Paper Promissory Note and a Paper Security Instrument.

10/7/2010 4:18 PM 5 of 16

- 2. The Lender is named on the Paper Promissory Note and in the case of MERS, the Paper Security Instrument on it's face identifies MERS as the Beneficiary and MERS as Nominee for Lender.
- 3. The Security Instrument is recorded in public records naming MERS as Beneficiary (Invalid Perfection). (Laws of Local Jurisdiction)
- 4. The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" are scanned into an "Electronic Digitized File" and the relevant data is scrapped from the paper documents and attached to the "Electronic Digitized File" to create an "Electronic Mortgage Package". The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" in some cases were destroyed and in other cases vaulted for later retrieval if required in litigation.

(Writers Note: In cases where the Original Paper Note returns it often has an incomplete Chain of Endorsements which would reflect that Fred/Fan never achieved "Holder in Due Course", UCC Article 3 - Negotiation of Instrument or it the item presented as "True and Correct Copy" lacks proper Chain of Endorsements.

It is the "Electronic Mortgage Package" that has been offered up to Fred/Fan.

So Fred/Fan have purchased an "Electronic Promissory Note" and an "Invalid Perfected Security Instrument" based on the above scenario, there are variations but the results are similar that are "Worthless".

Humpty Dumpty is Broken and there are no laws to support either side of what can not exist.

J.McGuire P O Box 1352 Bedford, Texas 76095-1352 Cell 817 420-4151

----- next part -----

An HTML attachment was scrubbed...

 $\label{lem:url:lists:washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/ea338050/attachment-0001.html>$ 

----- next part -----

A non-text attachment was scrubbed...

Name: not available Type: image/jpeg Size: 8641 bytes Desc: image001.jpg

URL: <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/ea338050/attachment-">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/ea338050/attachment-</a>

0001.jpe>

\_\_\_\_\_

Message: 3

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 12:18:09 -0400

From: Phillip Mahony <pm2159@hotmail.com</pre>>

 $To: <\!\!\underline{ccurry@shannongracey.com}\!\!>, <\!\!\underline{j.mcguire@swbell.net}\!\!>,$ 

<ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu>

Subject: Re: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac..

Message-ID: <<u>SNT126-W25464556DC847FE736EE86DDFD0@phx.gbl</u>>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"

Maybe they were "weekending" while they "dialogued".

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 10:05:18 -0500 From: CCurry@shannongracey.com

To: j.mcguire@swbell.net; ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu

Subject: Re: [Ucclaw-I] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac..

What on earth has happened to the English language? Just this AM I saw a certificate of service on a pleading filed in Federal District Court that contained similar jibberish?the lawyer used ?dialogued? which I am just guessing is pretty close to

talking on the telephone? And ?Simple Mail Transfer
Protocol?, the lawyer said in the certificate (in parentheses), means ?email?-but
I will just have to take his word on that, as well as ?attributable
hyperlink.? And how about "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper
Homeowner Promissory Note"?

Charles N. Curry

Shannon, Gracey, Ratliff & Miller, L.L.P.

777 Main Street, Suite 3800

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Main: (817) 877-8109

Fax: (817) 336-3735

ccurry@shannongracey.com

www.shannongracey.com

The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message. IRS Circular 230 Required Notice--IRS regulations require that we inform you as follows: Any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended to be used and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or tax-related matter.

#### From:

<u>ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu</u> [mailto:<u>ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu</u>] On Behalf Of James McGuire

Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2010 5:10

PM

To: ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu

Subject: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mac..

### We shall

include Fannie Mae as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are brother and sister and follow the same guidelines for the purchase of mortgage loans.

Fred/Fan typically purchase an "Electronic Digitized File" in which is stored a "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with an "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Paper Security Instrument". There are no supporting laws for an "Electronic Negotiable Instrument/Electronic Promissory Note".

Mortgage Bankers Association, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, banks, etc and title companies executed an advertising blitz that E-Sign and UETA have allowed for the use of "Electronic Negotiable Instruments/Electronic Promissory Notes". 15 USC 7003 of the E-Sign Act excludes UCC Article 3, Negotiable Instruments and UCC Article 9. UETA has the same exclusions.

One procedure:

- 1. Homeowner signs a Paper Promissory Note and a Paper Security Instrument.
- 2. The Lender is named on the Paper Promissory Note and in the case of MERS, the Paper Security Instrument on it's face identifies MERS as the Beneficiary and MERS as Nominee for Lender.
- 3. The Security Instrument is recorded in public records naming MERS as Beneficiary (Invalid Perfection). (Laws of Local Jurisdiction)
- 4. The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" are scanned into an "Electronic Digitized File" and the relevant data is scrapped from the paper documents and attached to the "Electronic Digitized File" to create an "Electronic Mortgage Package". The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" in some cases were destroyed and in other cases vaulted for later retrieval if required in litigation.

(Writers Note: In cases where the Original Paper Note returns it often has an incomplete Chain of Endorsements which would reflect that Fred/Fan never achieved "Holder in Due Course", UCC Article 3 - Negotiation of Instrument or it the item presented as "True and Correct Copy" lacks proper Chain of Endorsements.

It is the "Electronic Mortgage Package" that has been offered up to Fred/Fan.

So Fred/Fan have purchased an "Electronic Promissory Note" and an "Invalid Perfected Security Instrument" based on the above scenario, there are variations but the results are similar that are "Worthless".

Humpty Dumpty is Broken and there are no laws to support either side of what can not exist.

J.McGuire

P O Box 1352

Bedford, Texas 76095-1352

Cell 817 420-4151

The New Busy is not the too busy. Combine all your e-mail accounts with Hotmail.

http://www.windowslive.com/campaign/thenewbusy?tile=multiaccount&ocid=PID28326::T:WLMTAGL:ON:WL:en-US:WM\_HMP:042010\_4

----- next part -----

An HTML attachment was scrubbed...

 $URL: < \underline{http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/f849484c/attachment-left and the action of the action of the property of the$ 

0001.html>

----- next part -----

A non-text attachment was scrubbed...

Name: image001.jpg Type: image/jpeg Size: 8641 bytes Desc: not available

URL: <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/f849484c/attachment-">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/f849484c/attachment-</a>

0001.jpg>

-----

Message: 4

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 13:30:06 -0400 (EDT)

From: <u>Danube528@aol.com</u>
To: ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu

Subject: [Ucclaw-1] Seeking to engage a Freddie Mack "consultant -

explainer

Message-ID: <675d.779e4ee6.391ee29e@aol.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="utf-8"

I am seeking a ?Freddie Mack? consultant who can explain the mechanics of the transactions that takes place when a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mack

I am seeking the assistance of a person (lawyer or non-lawyer) who can explain the hands on aspects of the forms that are completed and also the conditions that apply when a "Seller Servicer Bank" sells a mortgage loan to Freddie Mack.

In other words: Friendly Bank is now set up as a seller servicer with Freddie Mac.

When Friendly sells a loan to Freddy , certain contracts and forms are signed. .

Some of these contracts/forms relate to the sale of the loan to

Freddy by Friendly

Other contracts/forms relate to the fact that after the sale,

Friendly holds the note and mortgage as 'Custodian' for FM and acts as a

?Servicer? for the loan.

Our client is a small, second mortgage lender. Our opponent is a large bank that sells its mortgage loans to Freddy Mac.

Our client and the Seller Servicer Bank each have a mortgage on the same property.

At issue is the question of which one of these two mortgages holds first lien priority.

I need the assistance of a person who can explain each of the forms that are signed and the mechanics of the steps that are involved regarding both the contractual and the custodial relationships, that are created between Friendly Bank and Freddy Mac

The matter will require about one to two hours of consultation time. I expect to pay an hourly rate.

Thank you.

Dennis Cook

Essex, VT.

1-888-438-1950

----- next part -----

An HTML attachment was scrubbed...

URL: <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/8957b4d2/attachment-0001.html">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/8957b4d2/attachment-0001.html</a>

-----

Message: 5

Date: Fri, 14 May 2010 17:21:36 -0700

From: "Goldfarb, Richard L." < RLGOLDFARB@stoel.com>

To: <<u>Joseph.Sommer@ny.frb.org</u>>, James McGuire <<u>j.mcguire@swbell.net</u>> Cc: <u>ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu</u>, <u>ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu</u> Subject: Re: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac..

Message-ID:

<6B4E66DB6B367B4DAE650DB3B1BF27EE01A9113E@SEA-MX.stoel.com>

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Joseph, I wouldn't ever say never. Check21 does something like this for checks, allowing the original to be destroyed and the scanned copy to become as good as a check for evidentiary purposes; that's federal law preempting everything in sight. The revisions to Article 7 were attempts to catch up to actual practice among some industries in warehousing and, as we know, current Article 8 also played catch-up with the industry, dissatisfied with revised Article 8. I'd support your suggestion of heading in a direction more akin to current Article 8 (or "revised revised Article 8," as we call it in my office) for intangible obligations, rather than the fictions attached to 7-106 and 9-105, in connection with incorporeal payment obligations.

People used to laugh at those who supported the independence of the

Baltic republics, too.

Rick

Richard L. Goldfarb | Partner

STOEL RIVES LLP | 600 University Street, Suite 3600 | Seattle, WA 98101-4109

Direct: (206) 386-7639 | Mobile: (206) 498-4178 | Fax: (206) 386-7500

rlgoldfarb@stoel.com | www.stoel.com

From: ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu

[mailto:ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu] On Behalf Of

Joseph.Sommer@ny.frb.org

Sent: Friday, May 14, 2010 7:47 AM

To: James McGuire

Cc: ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu; ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu Subject: Re: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac..

Word, brother. Amen!

However, I'm not sure if UETA and E-Sign excluded negotiable intangibles. The cockamamie notion of "transferable record" in Section 16 of UETA is pretty much the same sort of thing as UCC 9-105 and 7-106. You could argue that it undoes the exclusion of UCC3 from UETA. However, the concept of a negotiable intangible is logically self-contradictory, even when gussied up with the deemers of Section 16, 9-105, or 7-106.

Things are even worse. It is normally the path of wisdom to destroy originals after you have made a good copy of a wet-signed instrument. It saves the risk of safeguarding the thing. But if you do this with the subset of instruments that the UCC deems negotiable, you are at risk of getting caught up in the Joslin trap of UCC 3-309 (i.e., you have no right to enforce a destroyed instrument if you didn't possess it at the time of destruction). This trap was disabled by the 2002 amendments, but I'm not sure if they have been adopted by all 50 states.

I would dearly like to see a kind of UCC Article 8A, which would establish a UCC 8-style authoritative registry system for all intangibles (or bailed tangibles), and do away with the abominations called UCC 9-105 and 7-106 and Section 16 of UETA. But I doubt that this will ever happen.

From: James McGuire < j.mcguire@swbell.net>

To: <u>ucclaw-l@lists.washlaw.edu</u> Date: 05/14/2010 07:57 AM

Subject: [Ucclaw-l] When a bank sells a mortgage loan to Freddie

Mac ..

loans.

Sent by: ucclaw-l-bounces@lists.washlaw.edu

We shall include Fannie Mae as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are brother and sister and follow the same guidelines for the purchase of mortgage

Fred/Fan typically purchase an "Electronic Digitized File" in which is stored a "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with an "Electronic Digitized Graphical Image" of the "Paper Security Instrument". There are no supporting laws for an "Electronic Negotiable Instrument/Electronic Promissory Note".

Mortgage Bankers Association, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, banks, etc and title companies executed an advertising blitz that E-Sign and UETA have allowed for the use of "Electronic Negotiable Instruments/Electronic Promissory Notes". 15 USC 7003 of the E-Sign Act excludes UCC Article 3, Negotiable Instruments and UCC Article 9. UETA has the same exclusions.

### One procedure:

- 1. Homeowner signs a Paper Promissory Note and a Paper Security Instrument.
- 2. The Lender is named on the Paper Promissory Note and in the case of MERS, the Paper Security Instrument on it's face identifies MERS as the Beneficiary and MERS as Nominee for Lender.
- 3. The Security Instrument is recorded in public records naming MERS as Beneficiary (Invalid Perfection). (Laws of Local Jurisdiction)
- 4. The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" are scanned into an "Electronic Digitized File" and the relevant data is scrapped from the paper documents and attached to the "Electronic Digitized File" to create an "Electronic Mortgage Package". The "Original Wet Blue Ink Signed Paper Homeowner Promissory Note" along with "Paper Security Instrument" in some cases were destroyed and in other cases vaulted for later retrieval if required in litigation.

(Writers Note: In cases where the Original Paper Note returns it often has an incomplete Chain of Endorsements which would reflect that

Fred/Fan never achieved "Holder in Due Course", UCC Article 3 - Negotiation of Instrument or it the item presented as "True and Correct Copy" lacks proper Chain of Endorsements.

It is the "Electronic Mortgage Package" that has been offered up to Fred/Fan.

So Fred/Fan have purchased an "Electronic Promissory Note" and an "Invalid Perfected Security Instrument" based on the above scenario, there are variations but the results are similar that are "Worthless".

Humpty Dumpty is Broken and there are no laws to support either side of what can not exist.

J.McGuire P O Box 1352 Bedford, Texas 76095-1352 Cell 817 420-4151\_\_\_\_

The UCCLAW-L listserv is sponsored by Thomson West, publisher of the "UCC Reporting Service"

<a href="http://store.westgroup.com/">http://store.westgroup.com/</a>, with assistance from the Washlaw Web. To subscribe or change your settings, go to <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l</a> <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l</a>

A free service of WashLaw <a href="http://washlaw.edu">http://washlaw.edu</a> <a href="http://washlaw.edu/">http://washlaw.edu/</a> <a href="http://washlaw.

This e-mail message, including attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential or proprietary information. If you are not the intended recipient, immediately contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

----- next part -----

An HTML attachment was scrubbed...

 $URL: < \underline{http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/d31c3de0/attachment.html} > \underline{http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/private/ucclaw-l/attachments/20100514/d31c3de0/attachm$ 

-----

The UCCLAW-L listserv is sponsored by Thomson West,

Publisher of the UCC Reporting Service <a href="http://store.westgroup.com/">http://store.westgroup.com/</a>, with assistance from the Washlaw Web. To subscribe or change your settings, go to <a href="http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l">http://lists.washlaw.edu/mailman/listinfo/ucclaw-l</a>